

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,670	12/12/2001	Chin-Kuo Chou	12741-03/JWE	1416
7590 04/08/2004			EXAMINER	
STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
IP Department				
Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7680			2832	
Newport Beach, CA 92660-6441			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	T Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/020,670	CHOU, CHIN-KUO		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		TUYEN T NGUYEN	2832		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2003.			
'=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)□	,—				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 7 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a confidence of the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen		_			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			
3) Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/020,670

Art Unit: 2832

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hesler et al. [US 4,002,999] in view of De Graaf [US 6,492,893] and Sylvester, Jr. et al. [US Re33,345].

Hesler et al. discloses a toroidal transformer [figure 2] comprising :

- a gapped toroidal core [11]; and
- a plurality of coils wound about the core, wherein at least one of the plurality of coils having a center-tapped structure.

Hesler et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific structure of the toroidal core.

De Graaf discloses a toroidal magnetic core structure [11] having a gap [12] and an insulating layer [15].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the core design of De Graaf for the toroidal core of Hesler et al. for the purpose of providing insulation and controlling the magnetic field.

Hesler et al. in view of De Graaf discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific adhesive tape structure/arrangement.

Sylvester, Jr. et al. discloses a toroidal transformer [figures 10-16] comprising:

- a toroidal core [abstract];

- a plurality of coils [52, 60] wound about the core; wherein at least one of the coils

formed of a bi-fillar/tri-fillar wire; and

- adhesive insulating tape [65, 68] wound around an outer surface of the core after the

plurality of coils are wound around the core, wherein the tape is directly adhered on the first and

second coils wound around the core.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the insulating tape design of Sylvester, Jr. et al. in Hesler et al., as

modified, for the purpose of protecting the core/coil structure.

Regarding claim 3, PVC is a well known insulating material.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/020,670

Art Unit: 2832

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1966. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN 151

Taya Nguyan

Page 4